

To "mkuest@fec.gov" <mkuest@fec.gov>

C "Joseph A. Guardarrama"
<jgueat@keufmanlegalgroup.com>

bcc

Subject RE: LA Dems Proposed Final Audit Report

Marty:

Thank you for forwarding this revised version of the PFAR to me and Joe Guardarrama of my office.

We appreciate that some of the changes requested in our letter were already identified by your staff and incorporated into this latest version. Nonetheless, a number of our concerns have not been addressed, particularly as relates to the characterization of comments made by the Committee's <u>former</u> counsel. We would also ask that the Commission further refine the paragraph on page 2 at the end of the section detailing the unauthorized activity of the Committee's former treasurer. While the new language is an improvement, we believe that the language we suggested in our letter more accurately reflects the circumstances.

Please make it clear when circulating our letter that we were responding to a prior draft, but that a number of our concerns remain.

Thank you.

Stephen
Stephen J. Kaufman
Kaufman Legal Group
A Professional Corporation
777 S. Pigueroa Street, Suite 4050
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5864

Tel: 213.452.6565 Dir: 213.452.6550 Fax: 213.452.6575

Email: skaufman@kaufmanlegalgroup.com

The Information contained in this email, including any attachments, is confidential and may be attorney-client privileged information and intended only for the addressee. Do not read, copy, or disseminate this email communication unless you are the addressee. The information centained in this message is the property of Kaufman Legal Group and its unauthorized use, disclosure, reproduction, or dissemination, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and destroy all copies of this communication. Thank you.

Circular 230 Notitie: Te comply with IRS requirements, please be advised that unless otherwise expansity stated by the sander, any tax advice contained in this e-mail message and its attendents is not intended or written to be used and cannot be used by the recipient (1) to avoid any penalties under the Internal Revenue Code, or 2) to support the promotion or marketing or to recommend any federal tax transaction or matter. Taxpayers should seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular circumstances from an independent tax advisor with respect to any federal tax transaction or matter.

KAUFMAN LEGAL GROUP

October 17, 2012

Direct (213) 452-6550

VIA EMAIL & FEDERAL EXPRESS

Caroline C. Hunter, Chair
Ellen L. Weintraub, Vice Chair
Cynthia L. Bauerly, Commissioner
Donald F. McGahn II, Commissioner
Matthew S. Petersen, Commissioner
Steven T. Walther, Commissioner
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, NW
Washington D.C. 20463

Re: Comments in Response to Proposed Final Audit Report on the Los Angeles County
Democratic Central Committee (A09/07)

Honorable Chair and Commissioners:

On behalf of the Los Angeles County Democratic Central Committee ("Committee"), we would like to take this opportunity to comment on the Proposed Final Audit Report ("Report") with regard to the Committee's activities during the 2008 election cycle.

We appreciate the inclusion of new language at the beginning of the Report as a result of your directions to staff at the June 7, 2012 Commission meeting. That language acknowledges the widespread embezzlement scheme and cover-up by the Committee's former Treasurer, Kinde Durkee. Nonetheless, we believe that the single mention at the beginning of the Report does not adequately characterize the transactions discussed in detail later in the Report.

As we have made clear in the numerous letters and testimony provided to the Commission since Ms. Durkee's arrest, the Committee now believes that Ms. Durkee's criminal wrongdoing caused the reporting issues giving rise to the audit findings, and that her attempt to cover-up these misdeeds is reflected in the statements provided by her and the Committee's former counsel during the audit exit conference and in their written submissions. All of this was done without the knowledge of the Committee or its officers.

Upon learning of Ms. Durkee's wrongdoing, the Committee immediately replaced her with a new Treasurer and hired new legal counsel to respond to the Commission. Since thun, the Committee, through its new counsel, has provided the Commission with as much information as is available to properly characterize the transactions at issue. Unfortunately, we do not believe that the Report adequately addresses those issues in the context of the audit findings.

Caroline C. Hunter, Chair et al. Federal Election Commission October 17, 2012 Page 2 of 3

To put the facts in context, the Committee requests that all statements made by its former counsel be attributed to the Committee's "former counsel" (as has already been done with references to the Committee's "former treasurer"), and that those statements be referred to in the past tense rather than in the present, as they are currently stated. This will help clarify that these statements are not reflective of the Committee's current position.

In addition, the Committee has particular concern about the following statement:

LACDCC never provided documentation to support its belief that all the transactions underlying the findings in this report resulted from Ms. Durkee's unauthorized activity. The kind of activities described in her plea agreement as outlined above, however, could account for many of the problems identified during the audit and cited in this report.

(See Report, Part I, p. 2.)

This statement implies that the Committee has either withheld information from the Commission or failed to provide information regarding the transactions at issue. Nothing could be farther from the truth. As you know, since discovering these criminal activities, the Committee has provided the Commission with as much information as possible to establish the true circumstances behind the transactions in question -- at great cost and expense to the Committee. The fact of the matter is that the Committee does not have access to records that will fully explain the transactions, nor do we expect that it ever will have access to that information. The Committee therefore requests that this statement be modified as follows:

LACDCC provided what documents and information it could obtain to support its belief that all the transactions underlying the findings in the report resulted from Ms. Durkee's unauthorized activity. Moreover, the kind of activities described in her plea agreement as outlined above could account for many of the problems identified during the audit and cited in this report.

Finally, we urge that the conclusion section of Finding 2 relating to the Committee's Levin Account contain a reference to Ms. Durkee's embezzlement activity as a possible basis for the misstated transactions. The current version does not refer to the embezzlement.

In closing, we reiterate that the Committee's officers and personnel had no prior knowledge of the transactions at issue and did not authorize those transactions. Thus, to hold the Committee accountable for the unconscionable acts of its former professional treasurer would victimize the Committee even further.

Caroline C. Hunter, Chair et al. Federal Election Commission October 17, 2012 Page 3 of 3

We appreciate your continuing consideration and the opportunity to comment. Should you require any additional information, please feel free to contact us.

Very truly yours,

Stephen Kaufma

SJK:ssn

cc: Tom Hintermister, Assistant Staff Director, Audit Division

Eric Bauman, Chair, LACDP (via email)

Adam Seiden, Executive Director, LACDP (via email)